

Second order hyper lambola calculus 22

In $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow$: terms depending on terms

Subtraction from terms: $A - B \cdot M$... "The term $B \cdot M$ depends on the term x "
 Application of terms: $M N$... "The term M is applied to the term N "

In 22: terms depending on types

Examples: •) "The "identity function

$\lambda x : L . x$... identity function on L , $\lambda x : \text{nat} . x$... identity function on nat

$\lambda x : (\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{bool}) . \lambda y : \dots$ identity function on $\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\lambda L: * . \lambda x: L . x$... "the "identity function"

\uparrow type of all types
second order abstraction

β -reduction: $(\lambda x : * . \lambda x : L . x) \text{ mod } \rightarrow_B \lambda x : \text{mod. } x$

need: second order abstraction and application and β -red. for second order terms

c) Iteration

$\exists x: G \cdot F(Fx)$... iteration of F

Let $D \equiv \lambda L: * . \lambda f: L \rightarrow L . \lambda x: L . f(fx)$

$$\text{succ: mol} \rightarrow \text{mol} \Rightarrow D \text{ mol succ} \xrightarrow{\beta} x: \text{mol - succ}(x)$$

•) Composition

Let $\sigma := \lambda L : * . \lambda B : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow B . \lambda g : B \rightarrow * . \lambda x : L . g(f x)$

$$F:A \rightarrow B, G:B \rightarrow C \Rightarrow \Diamond ABC(FG \rightarrow_0 \exists x:A.G(Fx))$$

Product Types (IT - Types)

What is the type of $\lambda L : * . \lambda x : L . x$?

Maybe $\lambda d : *, \lambda x : L . x : * \rightarrow (L \rightarrow L)$?

Problem: We want to identify $\lambda d: * . \lambda x: d . x$ with $\lambda B: * . \lambda x: B . x$ (d -conversion),

$$\text{But then : } \lambda L: * . \lambda x:L. x : * \rightarrow (L \rightarrow L)$$

$$\chi_B : *, \chi_X : B, x : * \rightarrow (B \rightarrow B)$$

Solution: Inductive product types: $\lambda L : \star. \lambda x : L. x : \Pi L : \star. L \rightarrow L$

11

III \leftarrow L-convexion

$$\chi_B : \star . \chi_x : B . x : \dagger B : \star . \beta \rightarrow \beta$$

\leftarrow more similar in addition to Δ ,
binds type variables

Example: $\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) = \Pi L : * . (L \rightarrow L) \rightarrow L \rightarrow L$

$\lambda f: * . \lambda B: *. \lambda r: *. \lambda f: L \rightarrow B . \lambda g: B \rightarrow f . \lambda x: L . g(f(x))$

$$\Pi L; * , \Pi B; * , \Pi f; * - (\lambda \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow L \rightarrow$$

The system X2

Abstract syntax for 22-types: $\mathbb{T}_2 = \forall I (\mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow^I \mathbb{T}_2) \mid (\mathbb{P} \vee * : \mathbb{T}_2)$

¹⁴ See also the discussion of the relationship between the two in the section on "Theoretical Approaches."

Herbrand syntax for $\lambda\Xi$ -terms: $\Lambda_{T_2} = V | (\Lambda_{T_2} \Lambda_{T_2}) | (\Lambda_{T_2} T_2) | (\lambda V : T_2. \Lambda_{T_2}) | (\lambda V : *. \Lambda_{T_2})$

$\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n. t$ first order application
 $= \lambda x_1. \lambda x_2 \dots x_n. t$ first order abstraction
 $\vdash t : \sigma$ second order application
 $\vdash \lambda x. t : \sigma$ second order abstraction

Convention: •) Outermost parentheses may be omitted

- Application is left-associative, λ - and Π -abstraction is right-associative
 - Application and \rightarrow take precedence over λ - and Π -abstraction
 - Successive λ - or Π -abstractions concerning the same type may be combined
 - Arrow types are right associative

Example: $\Pi L, B : * . \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{L} = \underset{\text{"strands for"} L}{\Pi} L : * . (\Pi B : * . (\mathcal{L} \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \mathcal{L})))$

Definition: Statement : $M : G$ or $G : *$

Declaratión: $x: G$ or $L: *$
 $x \in V$ $L \in T_2$ $x \in V$

Context and domain: 1) ϕ is a 22-contact, $\text{dom}(\phi) = \{\}$ $\text{dom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}; *) = (\text{dom}(\Gamma), \mathcal{L})$
 2) Γ 22-contact, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{V}$, $\mathcal{L} \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \Rightarrow \Gamma, \mathcal{L}; *$ 22-contact,
 3) Γ 22-contact, $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{T}_2$, $\mathcal{L} \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ for all free $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{V}$ in \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{L} \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$
 $\Rightarrow \Gamma, \mathcal{G}; *$ 22-contact, $\text{dom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{G}; *) = (\text{dom}(\Gamma), \mathcal{G})$

Examples: Φ ; $L : *$; $L : *, x : L \rightarrow L$; $L : *, x : L \rightarrow L, \beta : *$; $\Gamma \equiv L : *, x : L \rightarrow L, \beta : *, y : (L \rightarrow L) \rightarrow B$ are $\lambda 2$ -contexts, $\text{dom}(\Gamma) = (L, x, \beta, y)$

Derivation rules for A2

(over) $\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma$ if Γ is a λI2 -context and $x : \sigma \in \Gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : S \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma \vdash N : S}{\Gamma \vdash MN : T}$$

$$(\text{abs/}) \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : S \vdash M : T}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : S. M : S \rightarrow T}$$

definition analogous to free variables

(form) $\overline{\Gamma \vdash B : *}$ if Γ is a 2-context, $B \in T_2$, and all free type-variables in B are declared in Γ

$$\frac{\text{(calens)} \quad (\text{suppl}_2) \quad \underbrace{\Gamma \vdash M : (\Pi L : *, A) \quad \Gamma \vdash B : *}_{\Gamma \vdash MB : A \Gamma_L \models B} \quad \text{need (form)-rule}}{\Gamma \vdash MB : A \Gamma_L \models B}$$

$$\text{(abs)}_2 \quad \frac{\Gamma, L : * \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda L : *, M : \Pi L : *. A}$$

Definition: A 22-term M is called local if there exists a 22-constant Γ and a 22-type S s.t. $\Gamma \vdash M : S$

Example of a derivation in A2

Let $M := \lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx))$, $\Gamma := \emptyset$ (M has no free term on type-variables)

Find type of M in context Γ :

(a) $L : *$

(m) $\lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) : ?$

(n) $\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) = \dots$ (subd₂) on (m)

(a) $L : *$

(b) $f : L \rightarrow L$

(c) $x : L$

(d) $f(fx) : ?$ \leftarrow typing problem in $\lambda \rightarrow$

(e) $\lambda x : L . f(fx) = \dots$ (subd) on (d)

(m) $\lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) = \dots$ (subd) on (e)

(n) $\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) = \dots$ (subd₂) on (m)

(a) $L : *$

(b) $f : L \rightarrow L$

(c) $x : L$

(1) $fx : L$

(2) $f(fx) : L$

(3) $\lambda x : L . f(fx) : L \rightarrow L$

(4) $\lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) : (L \rightarrow L) \rightarrow L \rightarrow L$

(5) $\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) : \Pi L : * . (L \rightarrow L) \rightarrow L \rightarrow L$

(appd) on (b) and (c)

(appd) on (b) and (1)

(subd) on (2)

(subd) on (3)

(subd₂) on (4)

So: $\emptyset \vdash \lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) : \Pi L : * . (L \rightarrow L) \rightarrow L \rightarrow L$

(6) Thinnning lemma $\stackrel{\text{later}}{\Rightarrow} \Gamma \vdash \lambda L : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx) : \Pi L : * . (L \rightarrow L) \rightarrow L \rightarrow L$
for every A2 context Γ

(7) $\Gamma \vdash \text{nat} : *$

(consumption)

(8) $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx)) \text{ nat} : (\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat}) \rightarrow \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat}$

(appd₂) on (6), (7)

(9) $\Gamma \vdash \text{mc} : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat}$

(consumption)

(10) $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx)) \text{ nat mc} : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat}$

(appd) on (8), (9)

(11) $\Gamma \vdash \text{mc} : \text{nat}$

(consumption)

(12) $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx)) \text{ nat mc mc} : \text{nat}$

(appd) on (10), (11)