

Properties of $\lambda\lambda$

Definition: λ -conversion, λ -equivalence

(1a) (Renaming of term variable)

$$\lambda x : G . M =_{\lambda} \lambda y : G . M[x \rightarrow y] \text{ if } y \notin FV(M) \text{ and } y \notin Bi(M)$$

(1b) (Renaming of type variable)

$$\lambda L : * . M =_{\lambda} \lambda B : * . M[L := B] \text{ if } B \text{ does not occur in } M$$

$$\Pi L : * . M =_{\lambda} \Pi B : * . M[L := B] \text{ if } B \text{ does not occur in } M$$

(2), (3a), (3b), (3c) (Compatibility, Reflexivity, Symmetry, Transitivity)
is in $\lambda\lambda$

Definition: β -reduction, \rightarrow_B , \rightarrow_B , $=_B$

(1a) (Beta, first order)

$$(\lambda x : G . M) N \rightarrow_B M[x := N]$$

(1b) (Beta, second order)

$$(\lambda L : * . M) T \rightarrow_B M[L := T]$$

(2) (Compatibility)

As in λ

Example: $(\lambda L : * . \lambda f : L \rightarrow L . \lambda x : L . f(fx))$ not succ two \rightarrow_B

$(\lambda f : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} . \lambda x : \text{nat} . f(fx))$ succ two \rightarrow_B

$(\lambda x : \text{nat} . \text{succ}(\text{succ} x))$ two \rightarrow_B

succ (one two)

All four terms have type nat; β -reduction does not change the type which can be seen in two ways:

(1) Give type derivations for all terms

(2) Subject reduction lemma (still valid in $\lambda\lambda$)

The following lemmas still hold in $\lambda\lambda$:

- Free variable lemma
(f.v. are declared in context)
- Thinning lemma
(context may be expanded artificially)
- Combining lemma
(context may be shrunk to only f.v.)
- Generalization lemma
(derivation rules are only ways to construct judgments)
- Subterm lemma
(subterms of legal terms are legal)
- Uniqueness of types lemma
(if a term has two types, types coincide)
- Substitution lemma
(substituting variable with term of same type doesn't change type)
- Church-Rosser theorem
(confluence, $M \xrightarrow{\beta} N_1 \xrightarrow{\beta} N$ $M \xrightarrow{\beta} N_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} N$)
- Subject reduction lemma
(β -reduction doesn't change type)
- Strong normalization theorem
(every legal term is strongly normative)
- Confluence lemma, if the permitted context is still valid!
(declaration in context may be permuted)

Type inference (implicit typing) is no longer decidable in $\lambda\lambda$!