

Types dependent on types: the system $\lambda\omega$

Previously: abstracting a term from a type variable: $\lambda x:\tau. x \rightarrow \lambda L:*. \lambda x:L. x$

Now: abstracting a type from a type variable: $\beta \rightarrow \beta, \gamma \rightarrow \gamma, (\gamma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \beta)$ are all of the form $\Diamond \rightarrow \Diamond$

Introduce generalised expressions of the form $\lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L$ with a type as a value (type constructors)

Applying a type constructor to a type yields a type: $(\lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L) \beta \rightarrow_{\beta} \beta \rightarrow \beta$

$$(\lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L) \gamma \rightarrow_{\gamma} \gamma \rightarrow \gamma$$

$$(\lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L) (\gamma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} (\gamma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \beta)$$

We obtain the type constructor $\lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L$ by abstracting the type $L \rightarrow L$ from the type variable L

More complex example: $\lambda L:*. \lambda B:*. L \rightarrow B$

What are the types of these type constructors?

$\lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L$ is a function that takes a type and yields a type. means "super types"

Educated guess for the types

$$: \lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L : * \rightarrow *$$

$$\lambda L:*. \lambda B:*. L \rightarrow B : * \rightarrow (* \rightarrow *)$$

We introduce the new set K of kinds by abstract syntax: $K = * \mid (K \rightarrow K)$

The usual conventions for parentheses: outermost parentheses may be dropped and $\rightarrow$ is right associative:

$$* \rightarrow (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow * = (* \rightarrow ((*) \rightarrow *))$$

Examples of kinds: $*, * \rightarrow *, (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow *, * \rightarrow (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow *, \dots$

We use greek letters $L, B, \gamma, \dots$ for inhabitants of kinds (i.e. type constructors) too

What is the type of a kind?

We introduce a new "super super type" $\square$ of all kinds: $* \rightarrow *: \square, (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow *: \square, *: \square, \dots$

If $Z : \square$ and $M : Z$, then M is called (type) constructor

If $Z \neq *$, then M is called proper (type) constructor

Summary and formal definition

$V = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$... set of term variables

$\mathcal{V} = \{L, B, \gamma, \dots\}$... set of type variables

$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V} \mid \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$... set of simple types

$K = * \mid * \rightarrow *$... set of kinds

$\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{V} \mid (\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{T}}) \mid (\lambda V:\mathcal{T}. \Lambda_{\mathcal{T}})$... set of terms

$\Lambda_{\omega} = \mathcal{V} \mid (\Lambda_{\omega} \Lambda_{\omega}) \mid (\lambda V:*. \Lambda_{\omega})$... set of (type) constructors

$*, \square, \dots$ sorts

Four levels of statements and judgements

Level 1 (terms): $x : L, \lambda x:L. x : L \rightarrow L$

Level 2 (constructors): $L : *, \lambda L:*. L \rightarrow L : * \rightarrow *, L : * \rightarrow *, \gamma : * \vdash L \gamma : *$

Level 3 (kinds): $* \rightarrow (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow *: \square, *: \square$

Level 4 (super super type): $\square$

Judgment chain: $x : \tau : * \rightarrow *: \square$

Derivation rules in λu

$$(var) \frac{\phi \vdash * : \square}{\Gamma \vdash A : s} \quad \text{convention: } s \in \{*, \square\}$$

$$(var) \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \quad \text{if } x \notin \Gamma$$

$$\text{short for (var-type)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : *}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \quad \text{if } x \notin \Gamma$$

$$(var-kind) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \square}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \quad \text{if } x \notin \Gamma$$

The (var) rule allows for two things: 1) extending a context
2) derive a declaration in a context (the last one) as a statement

By this method we avoid the need to define valid contexts as we did in $\lambda 2$.

Example: different realisations of $A:s$ and $x:A$ for different s :

	$s \equiv \square$		$s \equiv *$	
$A:s$	$* : \square$	$* \rightarrow * : \square$	$L : *$	$L \rightarrow B : *$
$x:A$	$L : *$	$B : * \rightarrow *$	$x : L$	$y : L \rightarrow B$

Example: combination of (var) and (var) to give first derivation:

$$(1) \frac{\phi \vdash * : \square}{\Gamma \vdash * : \square} \quad (var)$$

$$(2) \frac{L : * \vdash L : *}{\Gamma \vdash L : *} \quad (var-kind)$$

$$(3) \frac{L : *, x : L \vdash x : L}{\Gamma \vdash x : L} \quad (var-type)$$

$$(1) \frac{\phi \vdash * : \square}{\Gamma \vdash * : \square} \quad (var)$$

$$(2) \frac{L : * \vdash L : *}{\Gamma \vdash L : *} \quad (var) \text{ on (1)}$$

$$(3) \frac{L : *, x : L \vdash x : L}{\Gamma \vdash x : L} \quad (var) \text{ on (2)}$$

The (var) rule in λu is less general than in previous systems $\lambda \rightarrow$ and $\lambda 2$.

It only allows to derive the last declaration of a context as a statement.

So for we cannot derive e.g.:

$$L : *, x : L \vdash L : *$$

$$L : *, \beta : * \vdash L : *$$

or even $L : *, \beta : * \vdash \beta : *$ since we cannot obtain the
premise $L : * \vdash * : \square$ which is necessary to get $L : * \vdash \beta : *$
by the (var) rule

Solution: (weaken) rule (weakening)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B \quad \Gamma \vdash C : s}{\Gamma, x : C \vdash A : B} \quad \text{if } x \notin \Gamma$$

The weakening rule allows to "weaken" the context of a judgement by adding new declarations, provided that the types of the new declarations are "well-formed".

Note: "weakening" is a special form of "thinning":
thinning means adding assumptions to a context while
weakening means adding assumptions to a context at the end only.

Effect of (weaken) rule: Assume we can derive $\Gamma \vdash A : B$ then we may weaken the context by adding some
arbitrary declaration at the end of the context provided the type of the new declaration
is well-formed itself

Examples:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (1) \phi \vdash * : \square & (\text{ax}) & (1) \phi \vdash * : \square & (\text{ax}) \\ (2) L : * \vdash L : * & (\text{var}) & (2) L : * \vdash L : * & (\text{var}) \\ \hline (4) L : *, x : L \vdash L : * & (\text{weak}) & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (1) \phi \vdash * : \square & (\text{ax}) & (1) \phi \vdash * : \square & (\text{ax}) \\ (2) L : * \vdash L : * & (\text{var}) & (5) L : * \vdash * : \square & (\text{weak}) \\ \hline (6) L : *, B : * \vdash L : * & (\text{weak}) & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (1) \phi \vdash * : \square & (\text{ax}) & (1) \phi \vdash * : \square & (\text{ax}) \\ (5) L : * \vdash * : \square & (\text{weak}) & \\ \hline (7) L : *, B : * \vdash B : * & (\text{var}) & \end{array}$$

Tree format:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (1) * : \square & (\text{ax}) & \\ (2) \boxed{L : *} & & \\ (3) L : * & (\text{var}) \text{ on } (1) & \\ (4) \boxed{x : L} & & \\ (5) x : L & (\text{var}) \text{ on } (2) & \\ (6) L : * & (\text{weak}) \text{ on } (2) \text{ and } (3) & \\ (7) * : \square & (\text{weak}) \text{ on } (1) \text{ and } (1) & \\ (8) \boxed{B : *} & & \\ (9) L : * & (\text{weak}) \text{ on } (2) \text{ and } (5) & \\ (10) B : * & (\text{var}) \text{ on } (5) & \end{array}$$

The formation rule (form)

$$\text{(form)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s \quad \Gamma \vdash B : s}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B : s}$$

Example:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (8) \vdots \vdots L \rightarrow B : * & (\text{form}) \text{ on } (6) \text{ and } (7) & \\ (9) * \rightarrow * : \square & (\text{form}) \text{ on } (5) \text{ and } (5) & \end{array}$$